

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/676,434	09/30/2003	Sriram Natarajan	2003P14384US	7054	
7590 11/14/2005			EXAMINER ·		
Siemens Corporation Attn: Elsa Keller, Legal Administrator			CLEVELAND,	CLEVELAND, MICHAEL B	
Intellectual Property Department			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
170 Wood Avenue South			1762		
Iselin, NJ 08830			DATE MAILED: 11/14/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	ition No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/676		34 NATARAJAN ET AL.	
		Examin	ner	Art Unit	
		Michael	Cleveland	1762	
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this commun	nication appears on t	the cover sheet with t	he correspondence ad	ddress
A SH WHIII - Exte after - If NO - Fail Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE N ensions of time may be available under the provisions or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come period for reply is specified above, the maximum st ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nunication. Latutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the a	THIS COMMUNICAT event, however, may a reply I will expire SIX (6) MONTHS application to become ABAND	FION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this of the control of the contr	
Status		• .			
.1)⊠ 2a)□ 3)□		2b)⊠ This action is for allowance exce	non-final. pt for formal matters		e merits is
Disposit	tion of Claims				
	Claim(s) <u>1-50</u> is/are pending in the at 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>1-50</u> are subject to restriction	are withdrawn from o			
Applicat	tion Papers				
10)	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are Applicant may not request that any objected to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	: a) ☐ accepted or ection to the drawing(s g the correction is requ) be held in abeyance. uired if the drawing(s) i	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 C	• •
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation	documents have be documents have be of the priority documental documents for the priority documents fo	een received. een received in Appli ments have been rec ule 17.2(a)).	ication No beived in this National	l Stage
Attachmen	nt(s)				
1)	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or er No(s)/Mail Date			mary (PTO-413) ail Date nal Patent Application (PT	O-152)

Art Unit: 1762

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's reply is non-responsive because Applicant has not complied with the species elections. To fully respond, Applicant MUST 1) elect one invention (Group I, II, or III), 2) elect a single disclosed first solvent, 3) elect a single disclosed second solvent, 4) elect a single disclosed organic polymer, and 5) include an identification of all claims readable on each elected species. The requirements for the restriction and elections of species are repeated below.
- 2. Applicant's election with traverse of the restriction in the reply filed on 10/4/2005 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that there would not be a serious burden in examining all three inventions. This is not found persuasive because a serious burden exists in the differing issues likely to arise during the prosecution of the different statutory classes of invention.

Election/Restrictions

- 3. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-16 and 28-39, drawn to a method of manufacturing an OLED, classified in class 427, subclass 66.
 - II. Claims 17-27, drawn to an OLED, classified in class 313, subclass 504.
- III. Claims 40-50, drawn to an organic polymer classified in class 252, subclass 301.16.
- 4. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case one may make an OLED with an aqueous dispersion of the polymer.

Inventions I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the

Art Unit: 1762

product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case one may used the product to make a textured fluorescent layer on paper.

Inventions II and II are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as it may make the product with an aqueous dispersion of the polymer, and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species 1, first solvents chosen from page 10 first full paragraph.

Species 2, second solvents chosen from page 10, first full paragraphs

Species 3, organic polymers chosen from page 10 second full paragraph.

Art Unit: 1762

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, the following claims are generic:

Species 1, claims 1-3, 5-30, 32-42, 44-50; Species 2, claims 1-3, 5-30, 32-42, 44-50; Species 3, claims 1-10, 14-22, 26-34, 38-46, and 50.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Art Unit: 1762

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Cleveland whose telephone number is (571) 272-1418. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 7-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Meeks can be reached on (571) 272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael Cleveland Primary Examiner Art Unit 1762

11/8/2005